

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence

Udom E. Akankpo

Article Received

04/09/2025

Accepted

08/09/2025

Published

09/09/2025

Works Cited

Udom E. Akankpo, (2025). From Agitation to Insecurity: Examining the Impact of Violent Conflict on Development in South-East, Nigeria. *Journal of Current Research and Studies*, 2(5), 34-43.

*COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Udom E. Akankpo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms

From Agitation to Insecurity: Examining the Impact of Violent Conflict on Development in South-East, Nigeria

Udom E. Akankpo

Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, **Nigeria**

Abstract

The south-east zone of Nigeria has, over the years, experienced war, conflicts, insecurity and underdevelopment, all threatening the livelihoods of its population. These are occasioned by historical grievances, marginalisation and socio-economic underdevelopment. The region traditionally feels industriously populated, hence the tension from separatist agitations and the government's general development deficiencies. These have all fuelled insecurity, communal violence and a general rise in crime, leading to a drop in social activities and cohesion. The rise of the IPOB movement and other groups within the region seeking self-government has reignited tensions and led to confrontations with the government security forces. These confrontations have led to disruptions of commercial activities. This study explores the intricate relationships between conflict, security and development in the region. With a content approach, key drivers and consequences were identified, such as poor infrastructure, unemployment, and lower business activities. These have fuelled the cycle of insecurity and underdevelopment. Other factors, including international and national, such as the global/national economic downturn, national policy on development. It is recommended that the government engage the communities, diversify the economy and review the separatist demands for lasting security and development of the region.

Keywords

Agitation, Conflict, Development, Economy, Insecurity.

Introduction

South-east Nigeria is shaped by social, political and economic factors arising from war, conflicts, insecurity and underdevelopment. The Igbo ethnic group and region witnessed war, ongoing conflicts and security challenges due to ethnic, political, and resource distribution issues. These challenges have often been intertwined with national politics, as various groups seek the same political recognition. The root of conflict in the south-east is the Biafran war of 1967 – 1970, which left an identity on the zone. Nwankwo (2013) aver that the demand for higher political representation and autonomy of the region often results in a clash of national interests and creates a sense of regional marginalisation. It always generates social and political unrest in the zone. The national economies fuelled by inflation also drive high unemployment, poverty,

and low social infrastructure, which creates more regional tensions (Okoli & Iortyer, 2014). These factors created grounds for reasons for agitation, instability and insecurity.

The interplay of conflict, security and development (CSD), according to Nwozor (2019), impacts the zone's development, deterring economic activities, developmental projects, usually funded by the separatist group, the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB). These disruptions derail both government and private efforts on sustainable infrastructure, educational needs, and healthcare services, which are central to development. Collier and Hoeffler (2004) support the fact that underdevelopment accelerates the propensity for conflict, as community members feel displaced from national growth. Chinwokwu (2019) identified the security escalation as propelled by the state and the non-state actors. He posits that the military form of response to the separatist group activities most often lead to escalation of clashes, resulting in human rights issues and of diminishing trust between the troops and the community members. Equally is the utilization of informal security groups, which Igwe and Onyema (2021) noted is a reflection of a weak national police service in response to state protection. This local outfit is an introduction of armed groups, which further contribute to fears and instability.

Nigeria has long been plagued by conflicts stemming from a variety of sources – ethnic tensions, political unrest and unbalanced economic sharing. These conflicts have contributed to a sense of insecurity and impacted the development and stability of the country, especially the south-east region, through the activities of the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB). The south-east region had experienced civil war, and some of the issues are still being contested (Alozie, 2023), hence the formation of separatist movements. Issues of protests, sit-at-home orders, kidnappings, clashes with law enforcement officers, attacks at business places, risks, and boycott of national programmes are being experienced in the region (Akankpo, Ekpeyong & Anele, 2024). In these challenges, efforts made to improve security through police and military interventions, peace negotiations, policy development and national conferences have yielded no results. Progress has been slow, and the underlying causes of conflicts remain largely unresolved. Development in parts of the south-east has also been hampered by high-level insecurity, as businessmen are hesitant to invest in volatile regions. According to Okpalaojiego (2021), “the implications of insecurity on entrepreneurial development and small operations in Nigeria include winding up businesses, which may lead to poverty and hunger, underdevelopment, crime, unemployment, brain drain, relocation of businesses to safer countries and poor image of the country to the international community” (p.106). Given the scenario, several businesses have relocated to other regions because of fear and insecurity. This has stunted economic growth and exacerbated poverty and unemployment, fuelling tensions and conflicts.

This study's approach is content analysis, with the objective of examining the effects of conflict and insecurity on the development pace of the south-east region of Nigeria. Some studies have been carried out in the zone, but to the best of the researcher, no known study from agitation to insecurity: examining the impact of violent conflict on development in south-east Nigeria.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in the Social Conflict Theory (SCT), as propounded by Karl Marx (1818 – 1883), which helps to explain the dynamics of conflicts, their causes, and potential consequences arising from societal inequalities and power struggles, as it can impact various aspects of a society, including security and development. Conflict theory, according to Mshelizah, Lezuya and Jimoh (2021), expresses that conflict is an essence of social living as society is composed of multifarious social groups with “conflicting values and interests”. Therefore, as the groups interact and compete for power and resources, conflict occurs between the two competing groups. The Theory is an agitation for benefits in society. Conflict, therefore, generates the pursuit of sole interests, which creates various modes of responses, leading to insecurity and underdevelopment. The ethnic conflict of south-east Nigeria is tied to the security and economic development of the geo-political zone. This implies a breakdown of relations of law and order. The relevance of this theory in the paper is that the Social Conflict theory demonstrates a relationship between conflicts, insecurity and the underdevelopment of the region through the IPOB activities for self-determination.

Conflict as a Concept

The conflict type under discourse is societal conflict and it is multifaceted, arising from a verity of sources. Conflict in the south-east has generated into economic, political as well as ethnic tensions. However, conflict is generally defined as an argument or disagreement which escalates into violence between groups or nations. The study scenario arises from socio-political issues in relation to ethnic recognition, economic and political marginalisation. Conflict in south-east Nigeria was and is currently generated by the demand for autonomy and historical grievances.

Security as a Concept

Security is a broad concept that covers the state, regime and individual. According to Stan (2004, p.2), security includes “non-military threats lead to violent conflict and affect the security of individuals, communities, and states”. It implies avoidance, prevention, and reduction to deal with violent conflicts, either from other states, non-state actors or economic issues. Many state governments in the region have adopted the “Ebubeagu vigilante group” as a means to maintain security. While their services are localised, they pose greater risks as they operate from informal perspectives.

Development-related Issues

Development, as examined by Stan (2004), means methods that enhance the advancement of standards of living. It involves socio-economic activities, measures that improve health services, education and social infrastructures. In the view of Nnoli (1980), development is the people’s ability to create entrepreneurial conditions for a better life. In general, development implies the empowerment of man to access socio-economic opportunities and thereby improve his standard of living. In conflict-prone regions, development efforts face numerous challenges. Suberu (2001) identified disruption of development initiatives by violence and insecurity. Recurring conflicts stall economic growth, public safety and development.

The Interconnections of Conflict, Security and Development

The interconnections between conflicts, security and development rest on the fact that conflict and insecurity destabilize the community. According to International Crisis Group (ICG) (2022), the region, known for its economic potential, cultural significance and political activism, has now come to witness security challenges, thereby affecting its development. Historically, South-East experienced political and ethnic conflicts resulting in the Nigerian Civil War (1967-1970). The aftermath, which has had a lasting impact of marginalisation, economic underdevelopment, and social unrest, is still being experienced in the region. Recently, the rise of the IPOB movement and other groups within the region seeking self-government has reignited tensions and led to confrontations with the government security forces. These confrontations have led to disruptions of commercial activities.

Security challenges of the zone have been the activities of the separatist agitators, which involved sit-at-home orders sometimes lasting for up to two weeks. This act truncates business activities in all sectors. Another aspect is the attacks on security agencies, which disrupt governance, create tensions, displace populations and hinder commercial activities. And because of these activities, which often led to violent clashes with government forces, they further impact regional stability and development (Nwanegbo & Odigbo, 2013). Criminal activities thrive in the absence of a strong security presence in such areas, undermining business activities. Also witnessed is the conflict between herdsmen and farmers over land resources. This reflects ethnic, political, and economic issues in national affairs. The Eastern Security Network (ESN) is a grassroots initiative and a response of the state to provide adequate security and generate solutions to counter the escalation of violence.

These persistent war, conflict and insecurity in the zone have a detrimental effect on the region’s development. It has discouraged local and foreign investors. Businesses close abruptly; relocate to other areas, thereby creating unemployment due to decline in enterprises. This act has led to migration of the population (UNHCR, 2023). This displacement greatly propel poverty, disrupt educational activities, healthcare services and human capital development. The news of insecurity and its attendant activities has, in some way, tarnished the perception of the zone in all spheres. This negative image creates fears and hinders developmental projects and support for social and economic development.

The interplay of conflict, security and development in south-east Nigeria reflects a political issue (Ojukwu, 2021; Eke & Aniche, 2020). Resolving the challenge requires action on security concerns, inclusive government infrastructure and sustained economic development. The approach must integrate security, economic opportunities, and political inclusion of members from the zone for long-term peace and development.

Review of Literature

Businesses cannot thrive well where there is violence, conflict, and war. Ibeanu, Orji and Iwuamadi (2016) identified six consequences impacted in the zone as: (1) Frequent demonstrations between the group and security agencies, which disrupt economic activities. (2) Pro-Biafra protests discourage investments in the South East. (3) The agitation has regional and national security implications. (4) The intensity of the protest has overwhelmed government security agencies. (5) The group activities have a cultural dimension, leading to an increased breakdown of inter-generational relationships. (6) Agitation for Biafra has impeded the political stability of the nation.

Economic and social costs of conflicts are substantial, affecting everything from infrastructure, commercial activities, education, farming and healthcare. Collier and Hoeffler (2004) remarked that conflict disrupts local economies and deters investments, investors are hesitant to invest in unstable zones. The existence of IPOB has exacerbated economic instability in the region. Nwankwo (2013) noted the refusal of the group to heed the state responses, which have propelled further tensions and disruptions of commercial activities.

The Agora policy report (2022) stated that insecurity in Nigeria has debilitating security challenges on economic activities, particularly commerce and agriculture. In South-Eastern Nigeria, the prolonged sit-at-home order by the IPOB negatively affects trade and commercial activities, which leads to reduced individual and government revenue. Anambra and Imo States are the worst affected in the region. There is a great relationship between the nature of IPOB conflicts, security and development in South-East Nigeria. Ostia, Anoke and Eze (2022) wrote that economic activities in the public and private sectors are grounded, especially on Mondays. This is because public offices, private businesses, schools, markets and other business concerns are shut down in compliance with the order. Okonkwo, Ndubuisi-Okolo and Anagbogu's (2015) study on security challenges and implications for business activities in Nigeria revealed that insecurity discourages business investment as it makes invested capital unattractive to business investors. It accelerates the cost of doing business either through direct loss of goods and properties or by taking precautions against business risks and uncertainty.

Achumba, Ighomereho and Akpor-Robaro (2013), in their work on the security challenges in Nigeria, contend that insecurity arising from conflicts affects business, organisation and entire aspects of the production (potential business investment, existing business enterprise, production, marketing, finance, human resource) as aspects highly affected by the disruption of activities. Anele and Eke (2023) studied the IPOB sit-at-home order and identified that it creates more economic lack, fear, and destabilisation of private and public organisations. Iwuamadi, Ngwu and Onyemaobi (2021) conducted a study on regional security outfits and the challenges of insecurity in Nigeria. They identified frequent incidences of clashes to have resulted in numerous fatalities of persons and psychological disorders of both individuals and family members. Other areas include the displacement of schools, churches, government organisations, farmers and law enforcement agencies. This impeded growth and development through deteriorations in tourism and investment.

In the health sector, Alloh and Regmi's (2017) study revealed a dwindling dysfunctional status, especially in crisis-ridden areas. In some northern states, more than 72% of health centres in Yobe and 60% in Borno have been destroyed due to the insurgency. The challenges have resulted in poor health outcomes for the states' citizens. Austin-Egole, Iheriohanma, Iheanncho, Ezeji, Okafor and Wokoma (2022) in a study of Owerri city, Owerri North and West, recorded challenging economic activities as a result of the activities of unknown gunmen. This led to agricultural activities in the area, a decline in the business operations of residents. Attacks on law enforcement officers have affected further operational outcomes of the forces. There is an increase in the prices of foodstuffs and other essentials, thereby reducing the purchasing power of the populace; early closure of schools due to high insecurity rate; and closure of

business premises between 4.30 pm and 5 pm for fear of unknown gunmen. Conclusively, security challenges in the area affected economic activities, mostly among the low-income residents.

Ebo and Udentia (2023) noted that no significant economic growth has taken place in Africa due to several unresolved conflicts (territorial, armed, civil wars, and violence). The impact of this has been impeding the economic activities, such as farming, medical services and general services. The study equally noted loss of life and property, disturbances in commercial, social as well as political activities. Kimenyi, Adibe, Djire, Jirgi, Kergna, Deressa, Pugijese and Westburg (2014) report of conflict on Mali and Nigeria was stressed to competition over both economic and political resources. And this is bane of risk to the development of the nation and south-east zone.

A crisis is identified as having damaging consequences in society. Sunday and Muhammad's (2021) paper on criminal labelling of the IPOB as a terrorist group opined that their "activities have continued to worsen the security situation in the south-east as they now seem to be living the terrorist tag" (p. 69). Murder, attacks on security operatives and kidnapping are now the order of the day. Onyelelu (2022) is of the view that insurgency and social functions threat to south-east region is deeply rooted in the unknown gunmen. Analysis revealed that many villages are crime-prone because of the invasion and attacks by the unknown gunmen. They scout many homes and attack residents. The neighbourhood is in a chaotic state of affairs with crime. The bandits utilise the farmlands for their hideout and operations, thereby reducing activities on the roads and farms.

Politically, Nwangwu (2022) stressed that there was a low number of voters during the November 2017 elections in Anambra State due to the IPOB threats of "no election". The IPOB campaign was "no referendum, no election" and "vote and die", followed by a one-week sit-at-home order before the civil franchise. Several communities in Anambra witnessed intense killings of prominent members through the order. Social activities are usually threatened in areas of conflict. A study by Adegbam (2013) agrees that insecurity is detrimental to the economic well-being of the region in the areas of health, education, farming and even death. Regarding business operations, insurgency has lowered trade, investments and aided the transfer of businesses from one end to the other.

Impact of Violent Conflict and Security on Development

Violent conflict and insecurity in south-east Nigeria have implications across politics, education, social and economic spheres. Separatist confrontations with government forces, communal conflicts, activities of the local vigilante all have greatly undermined social and economic development. Economically, IPOB sit-at-home orders have limited movement of goods and services, reduced productivity and increased the cost of goods and services. These frequent lockdowns deter investment and have forced many businesses to relocate to other regions (Adekoya & Eze, 2021). Okoro and Uka (2020) highlight the fact that budgetary allocations billed for infrastructural development are diverted to security expenditures, thereby weakening economic growth in the zone. The ongoing conflict has disrupted educational activities and healthcare services in the zone. Schools close abruptly during periods of demonstrations and violence and sit-at-home. It also hinders access to health facilities and medical personnel, reducing the workforce (Ojukwu & Onah, 2019; Obi, 2021).

Underdevelopment is dangerous. Tschirgi, Lund and Mancini (2010) noted that, "not all countries (zones) face an equal risk of conflict. Some are more vulnerable than others", p. 1. This explains the risk that individuals and the economy face, thereby creating insecurity, crimes, unemployment, and all caught in a "conflict trap". According to the IPA report (2004), conflict and insecurity disenfranchise localities, accelerate poverty, and reduce commercial activities, and increase tension as well as political progress. The level of socio-economic activities in a crisis zone is unstable. In the view of Alozie (2023), conflicts generate human challenges such as scarcity, diseases and disruption in economic supply.

Conflicts often lead to the displacement of persons, as people flee their abode from violence and insecurity in search of safety. This has a devastating impact on the economy, social affairs and development, as it disrupts communities. A case in point is Syria, as millions of populations are seeking refuge in other countries, thereby straining the host country's resources (UNHCR, 2021). Another aspect of the impact of conflict is the destabilization of the economy, disrupting market activities, damaging infrastructures and a sense of insecurity for investors. These often lead to unemployment, which in turn results in poverty. The civil war in South Sudan has decimated the nation's commercial activities, with millions seeking survival (World Bank, 2021).

The truism “no development without security and no security without development” accounts for the current problems faced by the inhabitants of the south-east region. The current conflict has a profound effect on economic growth. There are disruptions in trade, investment and reduced economic output, not only due to infrastructural damage, but also to an endless sit-at-home. The World Bank (2011) noted that the gross domestic product (GDP) of conflict regions grows at a 1.5 per cent rate, lower than that of non-conflict zones.

On the area of social cohesion and human capital, insecurity causes loss of life, migration and displacement, affecting health outcomes and educational performance. Children in conflict regions suffer health-wise and often miss schooling. UNDP (2020) stressed the fact that war creates a reduction in human capital and increases poverty. Another aspect negatively affected by conflict is governance and social stability. In the south-east, security, health, education, agriculture, political, business, traditional and social as well as religious institutions are weak. There is a total breakdown in public services and law enforcement, and a takeover by the Eastern Security Network (ESN) armed group. Often, there is reduced participation in civil duties as IPOB declare sit-at-home orders. Collier and Hoeffler (2004) noted long-lasting impacts on governance, delays and reduced institutional effectiveness.

Generally, the long setbacks in the economy and development of conflict will indicate a prolonged period of recovery. More time, resources and money will be required to rebuild the damaged infrastructures. While time and health services will be considered in the social and psychological effects of the population. The International Monetary Fund (IMF), (2019) study revealed the fact that post-war countries grow at very slower rate, which take longer generations to close.

Government Responses

The government's approach to the issues of conflicts, insecurity and development in the region has been military operations and enforcement of orders. Operation Python Dance, despite its efforts, has not fully addressed the root causes of the conflicts, such as political exclusion and economic development. It has not ended the kidnap for ransom, sit-at-home order, nor the boycotts of national events. Another method adopted has been the establishment of a state-backed vigilante group - Ebubeagu. This group lack adequate training and raises the issue of abuses and sometimes acts beyond the ambit of the law. Equally, there has been dialogue and negotiation with traditional rulers, political leaders and stakeholders from the region, all to defused tension arising from the separatist group and political marginalisation. The reform so envisaged is equally very slow to action. Recognising the link between underdevelopment and conflict, the government has established the South East Development Commission (SEDC) as an economic empowerment strategy to improve services and infrastructural development. But the commission is yet to tackle its objective. Envisaged peace is expected, and the region remains in limbo.

The federal government, international organisations, and non-governmental organisations have implemented several developmental activities to improve the socio-economic status of the zone in areas as roads, healthcare, infrastructure and unemployment. One of the programmes is the National Social Investment Programme (NSIP), which empowers youths on job opportunities and skills training through the N-Power. Despite this effort, the government face serious criticism over implementation challenges. Obi (2021) observed inadequate coverage and corruption as factors that undermine effective implementation to fulfil the socio-economic needs of Nigeria and the region.

Again, the Presidential Infrastructural Development Fund (PIDF) aims to address Nigeria's critical infrastructural deficit. Upon the PIDF objectives, several issues in implementation still trace the body, thereby producing low results. Udeh (2019) noted PIDF project delays, regional disparity, and poor funding as impediments to accomplishing the desired social investments in education and healthcare in the zone. The Youth Employment and Social Support Operation (YRSSO), a programme of the Nigerian government and World Bank funding for youth empowerment on skills and job opportunities, would promote entrepreneurship if well propagated. Akpan (2020) observed that its beneficiaries have difficulties accessing funds, lack follow-up support system, which still leads to poverty.

The Anchor Borrowers' Programme (ABP), a programme launched by the Central Bank of Nigeria to support small-scale farmers with credit and agricultural inputs and to boost agricultural productivity and food sufficiency. Upon its benefits, Okoro (2021) identified problems related to popularity, credit repayment, lack of extension services, and low logistics supplies to its effectiveness. Another scheme to enhance development in the region has been the South-East

Stabilisation and Development Plan (SESDP), launched to cushion the challenges of economic marginalisation and regional underdevelopment. Eke (2021) noted the problems associated with the programme as limited funding, political pressure on its aim to attainment. Upon the numerous development programmes in the region to address economic and social challenges, some have attained some level of success, but a number of them are faced with issues of corruption, inadequate funding, hence impede the purpose of the initiatives.

Challenges

The major challenge of the region has been the historical grievances surrounding the Biafra war, which have continue to hunt tension in the region. The activities of the IPOB have equally complicated efforts at resolving and achieving both local and national unity. Any resolving policy must address this historical factor.

The south-east region, because of conflicts, insecurity, faces a number of security, economic and developmental challenges. These issues are intertwined and seriously affect the stability and growth. Firstly, there are rising levels of violence associated with the secessionist groups IPOB and ESN, leading to frequent clashes between these groups and the law enforcement agencies, resulting in loss of lives, destruction of property (Nwangwu, 2022). Secondly, there is the herders-farmers clash, which has raised tension between farmers and the nomadic herders, usually resulting in confrontations and deaths (International Crisis Group (ICG), 2021). Another major challenge faced by the zone is communal conflicts over land boundaries and its economic resources. By this, social services are impeded, reducing human capital development of the area (Ebo & Udentia, 2023).

The issue of perceived inequality and underdevelopment equally fuelled dissatisfaction and marginalisation. Though in Nigeria there is a general uprising in poverty and unemployment among the youths, it challenges development projects, disrupted by violence. The high population of the young creates vulnerability, leading to separatist group membership and unrest.

On the economic angle, given the unending desire for self-actualisation, there is a decline in manufacturing resulting from damaged infrastructure, insecurity, poor electricity, and deplorable road networks, which all hamper trade and investment (Ikpeze, 2019). The educational and health fronts suffer inadequate infrastructure, regular strikes/suspensions, and sit-at-home threats, attacks at schools, and high-level poverty (Omeje & Mwangi, 2014; UNESCO, 2014). The region has long been viewed as marginalised politically, with a limited rate at the federal level. These, with the IPOB's pronouncements of boycott of national elections, all affect development in the region (Akankpo, Ekpeyong & Anele, 2024).

The government funding efforts are limited in the aspect of economic and infrastructural development. Corruption and inadequate resource allocation further complicate the implementation of developmental projects.

Recommendations

The following key recommendations will help improve socio-economic conditions, drive onward security and safety of the region.

1. **Reconciliation:** There is a need to strengthen dialogue to address the demands of the separatist group to help ease the tension. This will help reduce farmers and herders, clashes.
2. **Infrastructural development:** There is a need for investment in infrastructure, education, job creation, and agricultural activities as solutions to the grievances. This will help create opportunities for economic growth.
3. **Community engagement:** Community policing initiatives should be adopted to engage the youths in hunting others engaged in criminal acts, and to provide skills training programmes.
4. **Security infrastructure:** It is essential to rebuild the collapsed security structures. This will help enhance cohesion, improve security, welfare and confidence and enhance neighbourhood collaboration. It will promote security and stability in the region.
5. **Environmental development:** It is also important to address the issue of the utilisation of the forest in the zone, where the separatist group have taken advantage. This is by way of promoting agriculture,

establishing national parks, and conservation areas, which will also help the preservation of biodiversity and generate income through eco-tourism.

6. Economic empowerment: Youths, men, and women need to be provided with skills in education, vocational careers and the economy. It will create jobs and improve human capital development.

Conclusion

What started as peaceful agitations has escalated into a regional monster of insecurity, generating enormous negative impact on the socio-economic development of the south-east region of Nigeria, and demonstrates a cycle of push-push factors, where each element influences the other and is equally reinforced. Conflict impedes development, disrupts economic activities, stops infrastructural projects, and narrows social cohesion. Perceived poor development now aggravates poverty, unemployment and accelerates conflict among the youths. Failure to stabilise the security situation also fails in addressing the socio-economic issues. Therefore, in addressing the elements, an integrated approach is required that levels on both emergency security options and long-term development rolling plans. These approaches will integrate policymakers, stakeholders and more groups towards sustainable peace, addressing the remote and root causes of conflict and insecurity in the region.

The region's problems are multidimensional and require coordinated efforts from the government, community, and non-governmental agencies. Development initiatives within the region are frequently hampered by corruption, mismanagement and lack of continuity. There is a need for an integrated strategy that addresses security, political reforms, with socio-economic development. Resolving the IPOB grievances will help empower economic productivity and improve public services.

In addressing the interconnected issues of conflict, security and development, a holistic approach is needed to tackle the root causes of instability while promoting inclusive growth and sustainable peace. What are required are appropriate leadership, effective governance and efforts from both government and civil society. To end the challenges, peace building is required by committed investment in conflict prevention approach, security sector reforms and economic development.

References

- 1) Achumba, I. C., Ighomereho, O. S. & Akpor-Robaro, M. O. M. (2013). Security challenges in Nigeria and the implications for business activities and sustainable development. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(2), 79-99
- 2) Adegbam, A. (2013). Insecurity: A threat to human existence and economic development in Nigeria. *Public Policy & Administration Research*, 3(6), 8-13
- 3) Adekoya, O., & Eze, O. (2021). The economic cost of insecurity in southeast Nigeria. *Journal of African Development*, 16(3), 72-86
- 4) Akankpo, U. E., Ekpenyong, O., & Anele, K. A. (2024). Taxonomy of Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) to self-determination and business security in south-east, Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*, 2(11), 21-31
- 5) Akpan, B. (2020). Evaluating the effectiveness of youth employment programmes in Nigeria. *African Journal of Youths Studies*, 5(2), 22-39
- 6) Alloh, F. T., & Regmi, P. R. (2017). Effect of economic and security challenges on the Nigerian health sector. *Afri Health Sci.* 17(2), 591-592. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v17i2.37>
- 7) Alozie, C. C. (2023). South east zone of Nigeria and the challenges of human security development. *South East Political Science Review*, 8(2), 36-48
- 8) Anele, M. C., & Eke, G. E. (2023). Owerri residents perception on IPOB Facebook handle sensitization on the regular sit-at-home imposed in southeastern states. *IMSU Journal of Communication Studies*, 7(1), 181-191
- 9) Aniche, E. T. (2020). Politics and social class. In E. A. Obi & C. C. Mbah (Eds). *Political Sociology: A reader*, 75-94, Onitsha: Bookpoint Educational

- 10) Austin-Egole, I.S., Iheriohanma, E. B. J., Iheanacho, J. I., Ezeji, N. R., Okafor, H. I. & Wokoma, C. U. (2022). Insecurity and the pauperization of residence of Owerri in Imo State, Nigeria: An empirical assessment. *European Journal of Social Sciences Studies*, 7(3), 36-61. DOI: 10.46827/ejsss. v7i3.1232.
- 11) Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 563-595, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.oep.a003749>
- 12) Ebo, K. A. & Udentia, J. O. E. (2023). Communal conflict and rural development in south east Nigeria. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(1), 122-133
- 13) Eke, S. J., & Aniche, E. (2020). Federalism, ethnic marginalization, and political stability in Nigeria. *International Journal of Politics and Development*,
- 14) Eke, U. (2021). Challenges in regional development planning: Lessons from the Southeast stabilization and development plan. *Journal of Public Policy in Africa*, 12(3), 60-72
- 15) Ibeanu, O., Orji, N., & Iwuamadi, K. C. (2016). Biafra separatism: Causes, consequences and remedies. Institute for Innovations in Development. Nigeria: Precise Press. www.iid.ng.
- 16) Ikpeze, N. (2019). The political economy of southeast Nigeria: A case study of development failures. Ibadan University Press.
- 17) ICG (International Crisis Group) (2022). The Biafra question and the national unity in Nigeria.
- 18) ICG (International Crisis Group) (2021). Ending Nigeria's herder-farmer crisis: The livestock reform plan.
- 19) IMF (International Monetary Fund) (2019). World Economic Outlook.
- 20) Stan, F. (2004). The security-development nexus: Conflict, peace and development in the 21st century. www.ipacademy.org
- 21) Iwuamadi, C. K., Ngwu, E. C., & Onyemaobi, M. C. (2021). Regional security outfits and the challenges of insecurity in Nigeria. *University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy*, 11(2), 480-491.
- 22) Kimenyi, M., Adibe, J., Djire, M., Jirgi, A., Kergna, A., Deressa, T. T., Pugliese, J. E., & Westburg, A. (2014). The impact of conflict and political instability on agricultural investments in Mali and Nigeria. *Africa Growth Initiative Working Paper*, 17.
- 23) Mshelizah, S. S., Lezuya, S. B., & Jimoh, K. O. (2021). Impact of Boko Haram insurgency on the socioeconomic development of Borno state, northern Nigeria. *African Journal of Law, Political Research and Administration*, 4(1), 36-51, doi:10.52589/AJLPRA-FHWNZUCK
- 24) Nnoli, O. (1981). Path to Nigeria's development. Senegal: CODESRIA/Zed Press.
- 25) Nwanegbo, C. J., & Odigbo, J. (2013). Security and national development in Nigeria: The threat of Boko Haram. *International Journal of Humanities & Social Science*, 3(4), 285-291.
- 26) Nwangwu, C. (2022). Neo-Biafra separatist agitations, state repression and insecurity in South-East Nigeria. *Society*, 60, 40-53.
- 27) Nwankwo, B. O. (2013). The politics of ethno-regional conflict and resolution in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in National Development*, 11(2), 291-303.
- 28) Nwozor, A. (2019). Contesting identities and the crisis of nationhood in Nigeria: The case of Biafra agitation. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 13(4), 54-65.
- 29) Obi, C. (2021). Challenges of implementing social investment programmes in Nigeria. *African Journal of Social Development*, 12(3), 56-67.
- 30) Obi, C. (2021). The effects of conflict on healthcare in southeast Nigeria. *African Journal of Health Studies*, 14(3), 98-112.
- 31) Ojukwu, E. I. (2021). The marginalization of the Igbo: The roots of conflict in Nigeria. Lagos. Sunshine Press.
- 32) Ojukwu, C., & Onah, V. (2019). Impact of insecurity on educational development in southeast Nigeria. *Journal of Education in Conflict Zones*, 7(2), 55-67.
- 33) Okoli, A. C., & Iortyer, P. (2014). Terrorism and humanitarian crisis in Nigeria: Insights from Boko Haram insurgency. *Global Journal of Human-Social Science*, 14(1), 39-49.
- 34) Okoro, J., & Uka, N. (2020). Security expenditure and public investment in Nigeria's southeast. *Journal of Public Finance and Policy*, 9(2), 53-67.
- 35) Okoro, M. (2021). Challenges and opportunities in Nigeria's agricultural sector: A review of the Anchor Borrowers' Programme. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 13(1), 88-94.

- 36) Okonkwo, R. I., Ndubuisi-Okolo, P., & Anagbogu, T. (2015). Security challenges and the implications for business activities in Nigeria: A critical review. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, 9(2), 157-168.
- 37) Okpalaojiego, E. C. (2021). Causes and implications of insecurity on entrepreneurial development and small business operations in Nigeria: The panacea. *Academic Journal of Current Research*, 8(6), 95-109.
- 38) Omeje, K., & Mwangi, J. (2014). Exploring the role of foreign aid in conflict & peace building in Africa. *African Conflict & Peace Building Review*, 4(1), 60-82.
- 39) Onyekelu, A. C. (2022). Insecurity and crime: A threat to south-east of Nigeria deeply tooted in unknown gunmen. *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 8(3), 146-156, doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.18277.47848.
- 40) Ostia, F. C., Anoke, A. F. & Eze, S. U. (2022). Mandatory sit-at-home order by the indigenous people of Biafra (IPOB) and economic activities in south east, Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research*. 6 (7),1-12.
- 41) Suberu, R. T. (2001). *Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- 42) Sunday, A., & Muhammad, S. L. (2021). Interrogating the criminal label and the scourge of insecurity in contemporary Nigeria. *KIU Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(4), 63-74.
- 43) Tschirgi, N., Lund, M. S., & Mancini, F. (2010). *Security and development: Searching for critical connections*. Lynne Rienner Publishers, www.rienner.com.
- 44) Udeh, E. (2019). The role of infrastructure in development: Challenges in Southeast Nigeria. *Journal of Regional Studies*, 10(2), 73-86.
- 45) UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees) (2023). *Southeast Nigeria displacement and humanitarian needs report*.
- 46) UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) (2020) <https://www.undp.org/publications>
- 47) UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific & Cultural Organisation) (2014). *Education for all. Global Monitoring Report*, 1, 80-95.
- 48) WB (World Bank) (2011) <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/4389>